

FAILURE TO PROGRESS KING ALFRED PROJECT**GREEN GROUP AMENDMENT**

To amend resolution 3 and insert additional resolutions 4 and 5 as shown below in ***bold italics***;

This Council sadly notes the failure of the Administration to make sufficient progress on the redevelopment of the King Alfred site since Crest Nicholson was chosen as Preferred Developer in January 2016.

This Council therefore resolves to:

1. Formally censure the Administration for its failure to make progress.
2. Request that the Administration immediately makes clear which Councillor is overseeing the project.
3. Underline its wish to deliver the agreed aims of the original King Alfred Project proposals, including seeking to maximise the delivery of affordable housing ***to achieve the 20% pledged by Crest Nicholson (subject to viability assessment through the planning process)*** and sports facilities therein.
4. ***To request that the Chief Executive write to Crest Nicholson, expressing dismay that despite the award of £15.2m in government funding, Crest Nicholson is seeking to reduce its affordable housing contribution to maintain its desired profit levels.***
5. ***That in the event of the failure of the development agreement with Crest, that the council explores 'in house' options for the development.***

Proposed by Cllr Gibson

Seconded by Mac Cafferty

Recommendation if carried to read:

This Council sadly notes the failure of the Administration to make sufficient progress on the redevelopment of the King Alfred site since Crest Nicholson was chosen as Preferred Developer in January 2016.

This Council therefore resolves to:

1. Formally censure the Administration for its failure to make progress.
2. Request that the Administration immediately makes clear which Councillor is overseeing the project.
3. Underline its wish to deliver the agreed aims of the original King Alfred Project proposals, including seeking to maximise the delivery of affordable housing to achieve the 20% pledged by Crest Nicholson (subject to viability assessment

through the planning process) and sports facilities therein.

4. To request that the Chief Executive write to Crest Nicholson, expressing dismay that despite the award of £15.2m in government funding, Crest Nicholson is seeking to reduce its affordable housing contribution to maintain its desired profit levels.
5. That in the event of the failure of the development agreement with Crest, that the council explores 'in house' options for the development.